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#### Abstract

The synthesis and antitumor activity screening of novel 3-[2-(3,5-diaryl-4,5-dihydropyrazol-1-yl)-4-oxo-4,5-dihydro-1,3-thiazol-5-ylidene]-2,3-dihydro- 1 H -indol-2-ones 1-23 and 3-(3,5-diarylpyrazol-1-yl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-indol-2ones 24-39 are performed. In vitro anticancer activity of the synthesized compounds was tested by the National Cancer Institute. Most of them displayed anticancer activity on leukemia, melanoma, lung, colon, CNS, ovarian, renal, prostate, and breast cancers cell lines. The structure-activity  relationship is discussed. The most effective anticancer compound 10 was found to be active with mean $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ and TGI values of $0.071 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $0.76 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively. It demonstrated the highest antiproliferative influence on the non-small-cell lung cancer cell line HOP-92 ( $\left.\mathrm{GI}_{50}<0.01 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$, colon cancer line HCT-116 $\left(\mathrm{GI}_{50}=0.018 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$, CNS cancer cell line SNB-75 $\left(\mathrm{GI}_{50}=0.0159 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$, ovarian cancer cell line NCI/ADR-RES $\left(\mathrm{GI} \mathrm{S}_{50}=\right.$ $0.0169 \mu \mathrm{M})$, and renal cancer cell line RXF $393\left(\mathrm{GI}_{50}=0.0197 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$.


## - INTRODUCTION

The synthesis and biological activity evaluation of noncondensed systems containing thiazolidine, ${ }^{1}$ pyrazoline, ${ }^{2}$ and isatin ${ }^{3}$ fragments are based upon previous results of experimental studies of these heterocycle derivatives as potential chemotherapeutic agents. Antitumor activity evaluation is actual and promising for these compounds (Figure 1). Antitumor mechanisms of 4thiazolidinones can be associated with their affinity for JNKstimulating phosphatase-1 (JSP-1), ${ }^{4}$ tumor necrosis factor TNF $\alpha,{ }^{5}$ anti-apoptotic biocomplex $\mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}-\mathrm{BH} 3,{ }^{6}$ integrin $\alpha_{\mathrm{v}} \beta_{3}$ receptor, ${ }^{7}$ nonmembrane protein tyrosine phosphatase (SHP2), ${ }^{8}$ etc. In addition, 1 H -indole-2,3-dione derivatives possess potent anticancer activity as tyrosine kinase inhibitors, ${ }^{9}$ inhibitors of cyclin-dependent kinases (CDKs), ${ }^{10}$ inhibitors of plasma membrane electron transport, ${ }^{11}$ and carbonic anhydrase isozyme inhibitors (CAIs). ${ }^{12}$ On the other hand, various pyrazole and pyrazoline derivatives have been identified as inhibitors of cyclin-dependent kinase, ${ }^{13}$ heat shock proteins, ${ }^{14}$ vascular endothelium growth factors, ${ }^{15}$ and P-glycoprotein. ${ }^{16}$

A pharmacophore hybrid approach for exploration of novel and highly active compounds is an effective and commonly used direction in modern medicinal chemistry. Hybridization of two different bioactive molecules with complementary pharmacophoric functions or with different mechanisms of action often showed synergistic effects. ${ }^{17}$ This hypothesis was confirmed by
the results of our previous studies that allowed identification of the high antitumor activity of 4-thiazolidinone derivatives coupled with other heterocyclic fragments in one molecule. ${ }^{18}$

In continuation of this theme, we designed (Figure 2) and synthesized new noncondensed heterocyclic compounds containing 4-thiazolidinone, 2,3-dihydro- 1 H -indol-2-one, and pharmacologically attractive pyrazole or pyrazoline moieties. The evaluation of their antitumor activity was carried out in vitro and in vivo. Combination of pyrazoline, 4-thiazolidinone, and 2,3-dihydro- 1 H -indol-2-one moieties in one molecule allowed gain of one or two logs of antitumor cytotoxicity at the $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ level ( -5.5 to -7.5 , activity range) in comparison with pyrazole-indoline-2-one conjugates ( -4.8 to -5.5 ) or pyrazolinethiazolidinone analogous compounds ( -4.1 to -5.6 ), reported previously. ${ }^{19}$

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Chemistry. The general methods for synthesis of target pyrazoline-thiazolidinone-isatin conjugates and pyrazole-indol-2-one analogs are depicted in Schemes 1 and 2.

3-[2-(3,5-Diaryl-4,5-dihydropyrazol-1-yl)-4-oxo-4,5-dihydro-1,3-thiazol-5-ylidene]-2,3-dihydro- 1 H -indol-2-ones $\mathbf{1 - 2 3}$ were
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Figure 1. Structures of anticancer diazole, isatin, and 4-thiazolidinone derivatives.


Figure 2. Design of the new noncondensed heterocyclic compounds with 4-thiazolidinone, 2,3-dihydro- 1 H -indol-2-one, and pyrazole or pyrazoline moieties.
synthesized via one-pot methodology involving reaction of 3,5-diaryl-1-thiocarbamoyl-2-pyrazolines with chloroacetic acid and appropriate isatins in the presence of fused sodium acetate in refluxing acetic acid (Scheme 1). Preparation of compound 3 as well as structures of the compounds 4 and 11 were described in our previous reports. ${ }^{20}$
It is known that the reaction of secondary amines with isatins passes through the formation of 3-dialkylamino-3-hydroxy-2,3-dihydro- 1 H -indol-2-ones and further indole cycle opening. ${ }^{21}$ However, according to other published data, the reaction of isatin derivatives with 5-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-3-phenylpyrazoline yielded a spirosystem with 2-oxoindole and benzoxazinopyrazoline fragments. ${ }^{22}$ Considering this ambiguous information, reaction features were studied between 3,5-diaryl-4,5-dihydro1 H -pyrazoles and isatins, and the structures of obtained products were identified. The above-mentioned reaction yielded the 3-(3,5-diarylpyrazol-1-yl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-indol-2-ones 24-39
(Scheme 2), which is not consistent with previously published data. ${ }^{21,22}$

The first stage of the reaction potentially follows a classic mechanism of a nucleophilic attack of the secondary amine in position C3 on isatin through the formation of 3-(3,5-diaryl-4,5-dihydropyrazol-1-yl)-3-hydroxy-2,3-dihydro- 1 H -indol-2-ones ${ }^{21}$ followed by intramolecular redox reaction with dehydrogenation of pyrazoline cycle (Scheme 3). ${ }^{23}$

The analytical and spectral data ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, LCMS) confirmed the structure and purity of $\mathbf{1 - 3 9}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{1 - 2 3}$ show characteristic patterns of an AMX system for $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ CH protons of pyrazoline fragment. The chemical shifts of the protons $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{M}}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{X}}$ have been assigned to $\delta \approx 3.41-3.66, \delta$ $\approx 4.10-4.26$, and $\delta \approx 5.82-6.05$ with corresponding coupling constants of $J_{\mathrm{AM}}=17.5-18.7, J_{\mathrm{AX}}=10.4-11.3$, and $J_{\mathrm{MX}}=2.3-4.1$ Hz , respectively. The CH-group proton of 2,3 -dihydro- 1 H -indol-2-one fragment in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of 24-39 appears as singlet at $\delta \approx 6.78-7.18 \mathrm{ppm}$, and the CH proton of the pyrazole cycle has a singlet at $\delta \approx 5.79-6.21$.

Structural features of synthesized 3-[2-(3,5-diaryl-4,5-dihy-dropyrazol-1-yl)-4-oxo-4,5-dihydro-1,3-thiazol-5-ylidene]-2,3-dihydro- 1 H -indol-2-ones and 3-(3,5-diarylpyrazol-1-yl)-2,3-di-hydro- 1 H -indol-2-ones were confirmed by X-ray crystallographic analysis of 23 (confirmed the structure of 1-methyl-3-[4-oxo-2-(3-phenyl-5-thiophen-2-yl-4,5-dihydropyrazol-1-yl)-4H-thiazol5 -ylidene]-2,3-dihydro- 1 H -indol-2-one) and 24 (confirmed the structure of \{3-[5-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-3-phenylpyrazol-1-yl]-2-oxo-2,3-dihydroindol-1-yl $\}$-acetic acid ethanol disolvate).

The geometry of $\mathbf{2 3}$ is shown at Figure 3, and its structure can be represented by the mesomeric structures $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ in which the C 2 atom of 1,3 -thiazol-4-one system is connected to the endo(N3) or exocyclic (N6) nitrogen atom via a double bond (Figure 4).

In the crystal lattice, the molecules take up a structure intermediate (form C) between structures A and B (Figure 4). This conclusion was drawn on the basis of similar values of the interatomic distances for $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{N} 3$ [1. 1.300(3) $\AA$ ] and C2-N6

Scheme 1. Synthesis of 3-[2-(3,5-Diaryl-4,5-dihydropyrazol-1-yl)-4-oxo-4,5-dihydro-1,3-thiazol-5-ylidene]-2,3-dihydro-1H-indol-2-ones ${ }^{\text {a }}$


| $\underset{\mathbf{p}}{\text { Com }}$ | Ar ${ }^{1}$ | $\mathrm{Ar}^{2}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $2-\mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | Ph | H | H |
| 2 | $2-\mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | Ph | H | Br |
| 3 | $4-\mathrm{OMe}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | Ph | H | H |
| 4 | $4-\mathrm{OMe}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | Ph | H | Br |
| 5 | $4-\mathrm{OMe}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | Ph | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$ | H |
| 6 | 4-OMe-C66 $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $4-\mathrm{OMe}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | H | H |
| 7 | $4-\mathrm{OMe}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $4-\mathrm{OMe}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | H | Br |
| 8 | 4-OMe-C6 $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $4-\mathrm{OMe}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | H | Cl |
| 9 | $4-\mathrm{OMe}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | naphthalen-2-yl | H | H |
| 10 | $4-\mathrm{OMe}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | naphthalen-2-yl | H | Br |
| 11 | $4-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | Ph | H | H |
| 12 | $4-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | Ph | H | Me |
| 13 | $4-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $4-\mathrm{OMe}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | H | H |
| 14 | $4-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $4-\mathrm{OMe}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | H | Br |
| 15 | $4-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $4-\mathrm{OMe}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | H | Cl |
| 16 | $4-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $4-\mathrm{OMe}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | Me | H |
| 17 | $4-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | naphthalen-2-yl | H | H |
| 18 | $4-\mathrm{NMe}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | Ph | H | H |
| 19 | $4-\mathrm{NMe}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | Ph | H | Br |
| 20 | $4-\mathrm{NMe}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | Ph | H | Cl |
| 21 | thiophen-2-yl | Ph | H | H |
| 22 | thiophen-2-yl | Ph | H | Br |
| 23 | thiophen-2-yl | Ph | Me | H |

${ }^{a}$ Reagents, conditions, and yields: (a) thiosemicarbazide ( 1.2 equiv), KOH ( 2.5 equiv), EtOH , reflux 8 h (ref 30 ); (b) appropriate isatin ( 1.2 equiv), $\mathrm{ClCH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$ ( 1.0 equiv), AcONa ( 2.0 equiv), AcOH , reflux $5 \mathrm{~h}, 62-85 \%$.

Scheme 2. Synthesis of 3-[3,5-Diarylpyrazol-1-yl]-2,3-dihydro-1 H -indol-2-ones ${ }^{a}$


| Com | Ar ${ }^{1}$ | $\mathrm{Ar}^{2}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | $2-\mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | Ph | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$ | H |
| 25 | $4-\mathrm{OMe}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $4-\mathrm{OMe}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | H | H |
| 26 | $4-\mathrm{OMe}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $4-\mathrm{OMe}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | H | Br |
| 27 | $4-\mathrm{OMe}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $4-\mathrm{OMe}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | H | Cl |
| 28 | $4-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | Ph | H | H |
| 29 | $4-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | Ph | H | Br |
| 30 | $4-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | Ph | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$ | H |
| 31 | $4-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $4-\mathrm{OMe}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | H | H |
| 32 | $4-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $4-\mathrm{OMe}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | H | Br |
| 33 | $4-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $4-\mathrm{OMe}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | H | Cl |
| 34 | $4-\mathrm{NMe}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | Ph | H | H |
| 35 | $4-\mathrm{OMe}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | naphthalen-2-yl | H | H |
| 36 | 4-OMe-C6 ${ }^{\text {H }}$ | naphthalen-2-yl | H | Cl |
| 37 | $4-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | naphthalen-2-yl | H | H |
| 38 | $4-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | naphthalen-2-yl | H | Br |
| 39 | $4-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | naphthalen-2-yl | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$ | H |

${ }^{a}$ Reagents, conditions, and yields: (c) hydrazine hydrate (1.0 equiv), EtOH, reflux 0.5 h (ref 29); (d) appropriate isatin (1.0 equiv), EtOH, reflux $1 \mathrm{~h}, 68-83 \%$.

Scheme 3. Proposed Mechanism of 3-(3,5-Diarylpyrazol-1-yl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-indol-2-one Formation



Figure 3. View of 23. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30\% probability level, and H atoms are depicted as spheres of arbitrary radii.


Figure 4. Two mesomeric structures (A and B) of 23 and the molecular structure (form C) found in the crystal.
[1.328(3) $\AA$ ] indicating that these two bonds have a partial double-bond character in the molecules. The distances are longer
by 7 and $15 \sigma$, respectively, than a regular double bond length $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}[1.279(1) \AA] .{ }^{24}$

In molecule 23, only the 4,5-dihydropyrazole ring is slightly puckered (rms deviation $0.0382 \AA$ ) and has an envelope-oriented conformation [Cremer and Pople ${ }^{25}$ puckering parameters: $Q(2)$ $\left.=0.086(3) \AA, \varphi(2)=318.2(18)^{\circ}\right]$. The four atoms $\mathrm{N} 6, \mathrm{~N} 7, \mathrm{C} 8$, and C9 are coplanar [maximum deviation from the least-squares plane of $0.0049(14) \AA]$, while the fifth atom C10 is out of this plane $[0.141(4) \AA]$.

The asymmetric unit of 24 contains one solute molecule (host) and two solvent (ethanol) molecules (guests) (Figure 5).


Figure 5. View of 24. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30\% probability level, and H atoms are depicted as spheres of arbitrary radii.

The architecture of the crystal structure of 24 is determined mainly by $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds involving ethanol molecules, which act both as a donor and an acceptor.

Evaluation of Anticancer Activity in Vitro and in Vivo. Synthesized 3-[2-(3,5-diaryl-4,5-dihydropyrazol-1-yl)-4-oxo-4,5-dihydro-1,3-thiazol-5-ylidene]-2,3-dihydro- 1 H -indol-2-ones (1-20) and 3-(3,5-diarylpyrazol-1-yl)-2,3-dihydro-1 H -indol-2ones (24-39) were submitted and evaluated at the single concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ toward a panel of approximately 60 cancer cell lines. The human tumor cell lines were derived from nine different cancer types: leukemia, melanoma, lung, colon, CNS, ovarian, renal, prostate, and breast cancers. Primary anticancer assays were performed according to the US NCI protocol (http://dtp.nci.nih.gov), as described elsewhere. ${ }^{26}$ The compounds were added at the single concentration, and the cell culture was incubated for 48 h . The end point determinations were made with a protein binding dye, sulforhodamine B (SRB). The results for each compound are reported as the growth percentage (GP\%) of treated cells compared with untreated control cells (Table 1). A range of growth \% shows the lowest and the highest growth \% found among different cancer cell lines.

The synthesized pyrazoline-thiazolidinone-isatin conjugates $\mathbf{1 - 4}, 7-12,14,15$, and $17-20$ displayed significant activity in the in vitro screen on tested cell lines in $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration (Table 1). These compounds demonstrated a cytotoxic effect on the most of the tumor cell lines. One derivative of this group, 6, showed moderate activity, and three compounds, 5, 13, and 16, were inactive. The pyrazole derivatives with 2,3 -dihydro- 1 H -indol-2-one fragment (24-39) were far less active than the noncondensed systems with three heterocycles (1-20),
emphasizing the importance of presence and positioning of central linker between two terminal heterocycles, well represented by 4 -thiazolidinone moiety, which is coplanar with the indolone part in the target compounds with potent antitumor properties. Only four compounds from this group, 32-34 and 38, demonstrated moderate activity in $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration (GP $=50.79-70.89 \%$ ) with the selective influence on several leukemia (CCRF-CEM and HL-60) and ovarian cancer (OVCAR-4) cell lines.

Finally, compounds $\mathbf{1 - 4 , 6 - 1 5}, 17-20,32-34$, and 38 were selected in advanced assay against a panel of approximately 60 tumor cell lines at 10 -fold dilutions of five concentrations (100, $10,1,0.1$, and $0.01 \mu \mathrm{M}) .{ }^{26}$ Based on the cytotoxicity assays, three antitumor activity dose-response parameters were calculated for experimental agents against each cell line: $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$, molar concentration of the compound that inhibits $50 \%$ net cell growth; TGI, molar concentration of the compound leading to total inhibition; $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$, molar concentration of the compound leading to $50 \%$ net cell death. Furthermore a mean graph midpoints (MG_MID) were calculated for each of the parameters, giving an average activity parameter over all cell lines for the tested compounds. For the calculation of the MG_MID, insensitive cell lines are included with the highest concentration tested (Table 2). Some of the compounds were retested on the basis of the results of the first screen: compounds $4,7-12,14,15,17$, and 19 twice, compound 18 in triple, and all other compounds were tested once.

The above NCI screening data analysis indicated that most of members of the pyrazoline-thiazolidinone-isatin series (1-4, 7-12, 14, 15, and 17-20) possessed potent in vitro antiproliferative activity, with mean $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ values across the 60 cell lines ranging from 0.071 (10) to $8.05 \mu \mathrm{M}(18)$. Activities of 6 and 14 were insignificant. The pyrazole-indole conjugates 3234 , and 38 were less active compared with their 4-thiazolidinonecontaining analogues with mean $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ values from 4.47 to 18.06 $\mu \mathrm{M}$.

The most active compound in the series of pyrazoline-thiazolidinone-isatin conjugates is the 5-bromo-3-\{2-[5-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-naphthalen-2-yl-4,5-dihydropyrazol-1-yl]-4-oxo-4,5-dihydro-1,3-thiazol-5-ylidene $\}$-2,3-dihydro- 1 H -indol-2one 10, both in terms of the mean $\mathrm{GI}_{50}(0.071 \mu \mathrm{M})$ and TGI $(0.76 \mu \mathrm{M})$. Notably, this compound ranks as nearly 100 -fold more potent (mean $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ ) compared with the most potent member of the 2-[3,5-diaryl-4,5-dihydropyrazol-1-yl]thiazol$4(5 H)$-ones, reported previously. ${ }^{19}$ At the second assay (Table 3 ), the compound 10 was found to be a highly active growth inhibitor of the non-small-cell lung cancer cell line HOP-92 $\left(\mathrm{GI}_{50}\right.$ $<0.01 \mu \mathrm{M})$, colon cancer line HCT-116 $\left(\mathrm{GI}_{50}=0.018 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$, CNS cancer cell line SNB-75 $\left(\mathrm{GI}_{50}=0.0159 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$, ovarian cancer cell line OVCAR-3 $\left(\mathrm{GI}_{50}=0.0216 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$, and renal cancer cell line
 compound 10 in comparison with standard anticancer agent are given at Figure 6.

The SAR study revealed that (1) potent anticancer activity of tested compounds depended on the presence of a combination of three heterocycles in one molecule; thus the pyrazoline-thiazolidinone-isatin conjugates were more active in comparison with pyrazoline-thiazolidinone ${ }^{19}$ or pyrazole-indoline-2one systems; (2) attachment of halogen ( Br or Cl ) to 5 -position of isatin scaffold ( $2,4,7,8,10,14,15,19,20,32,33,38$ ) allowed us to gain of one log unit of activity ( $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ level), in comparison with 5-unsubstituted isatin analogs; (3) introduction of a methyl group or $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$ group at 1 N -position of isatin fragment (5,

Table 1. Anticancer Screening Data in Concentration $10.00 \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathrm{M}$

| compd | 60 cell lines assay in one dose $10.00 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concn |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | mean growth, \% | range of growth, \% | most sensitive cell lines | positive cytostatic effect ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | positive cytotoxic effect ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 1 | -37.37 | -77.15 to 16.01 | HCT-116 (colon cancer) | 4/53 | 49/53 |
| 2 | -53.88 | -98.23 to 7.54 | SK-MEL-5 (melanoma) | 3/58 | 55/58 |
| 3 | -51.39 | -94.59 to 19.90 | OVCAR-3 (ovarian cancer) | 7/60 | 53/60 |
| 4 | -55.11 | -95.64 to 7.87 | SF-539 (CNS cancer) | 4/60 | 56/60 |
| 5 | 97.34 | 37.46 to 183.90 | TK-10 (renal cancer) | 2/60 | 0/60 |
| 6 | 44.73 | -69.94 to 117.74 | U251 (CNS cancer) | 21/54 | 7/54 |
| 7 | 23.86 | -72.59 to 114.93 | U251 (CNS cancer) | 15/56 | 21/56 |
| 8 | 0.80 | -84.01 to 109.79 | NCI-H522 (NSC lung cancer) | 18/57 | 30/57 |
| 9 | -26.26 | -90.18 to 54.01 | U251 (CNS cancer) | 13/57 | 41/57 |
| 10 | -59.81 | -100.00 to -1.59 | SK-MEL-5 (melanoma) | 0/59 | 59/59 |
| 11 | -56.90 | -100.00 to 35.68 | SK-MEL-5 (melanoma) | 3/53 | 50/53 |
| 12 | -53.95 | -100.00 to 88.69 | U251 (CNS cancer) | 3/57 | 53/57 |
| 13 | 77.45 | -9.26 to 129.52 | OVCAR-3 (ovarian cancer) | 10/59 | 1/59 |
| 14 | -56.40 | -97.72 to 52.12 | SK-MEL-5 (melanoma) | 3/59 | 55/59 |
| 15 | -58.01 | -96.37 to 36.74 | U251 (CNS cancer) | 2/54 | 52/54 |
| 16 | 101.66 | 79.31 to 120.75 | NCI-H522 (NSC lung cancer) | 0/56 | 0/56 |
| 17 | -25.09 | -91.19 to 111.03 | U251 (CNS cancer) | 14/60 | 44/60 |
| 18 | -39.61 | -100.00 to 72.46 | U251 (CNS cancer) | 8/57 | 48/57 |
| 19 | -51.12 | -99.37 to 38.89 | U251 (CNS cancer) | 6/59 | 53/59 |
| 20 | -52.88 | -91.70 to 4.28 | SK-MEL-5 (melanoma) | 2/59 | 57/59 |
| 24 | 107.55 | 80.14 to 131.25 | SNB-75 (CNS cancer) | 0/58 | 0/58 |
| 25 | 87.55 | 35.22 to 130.24 | NCI-H522 (NSC lung cancer) | 1/58 | 0/58 |
| 26 | 88.62 | 5.70 to 108.98 | NCI-H522 (NSC lung cancer) | 1/56 | 0/56 |
| 27 | 82.02 | 6.53 to 113.49 | NCI-H522 (NSC lung cancer) | 3/58 | 0/58 |
| 28 | 76.57 | -8.87 to 134.63 | NCI-H522 (NSC lung cancer) | 1/54 | 1/54 |
| 29 | 90.10 | 41.05 to 159.82 | 786-0 (renal cancer) | 2/58 | 0/58 |
| 30 | 97.73 | -64.97 to 123.59 | CCRF-CEM (leukemia) | 0/57 | 3/57 |
| 31 | 75.58 | -63.11 to 107.51 | CCRF-CEM (leukemia) | 3/58 | 1/58 |
| 32 | 58.62 | -61.05 to 112.65 | CCRF-CEM (leukemia) | 14/59 | 2/59 |
| 33 | 50.79 | -43.02 to 101.17 | CCRF-CEM (leukemia) | 17/59 | 4/59 |
| 34 | 70.89 | -52.14 to 122.45 | HL-60 (TB) (leukemia) | 8/57 | 3/57 |
| 35 | 91.46 | 53.09 to 121.07 | OVCAR-4 (ovarian cancer) | 0/58 | 0/58 |
| 36 | 98.23 | 77.23 to 115.51 | MOLT-4 (leukemia) | 0/58 | 0/58 |
| 37 | 90.83 | 2.70 to 122.50 | NCI-H522 (NSC lung cancer) | 8/58 | 0/58 |
| 38 | 58.49 | -50.93 to 97.67 | OVCAR-4 (ovarian cancer) | 15/58 | 2/58 |
| 39 | 102.90 | -7.58 to 127.27 | CCRF-CEM (leukemia) | 0/59 | 1/59 |

${ }^{a}$ Ratio between number of cell lines with percent growth from 0 to 50 and total number of cell lines. ${ }^{b}$ Ratio between number of cell lines with percent growth of $<0$ and total number of cell lines.
$16,24,30,39)$ led to the loss of activity; (4) the nature of a substituent in the 5 -aryl fragment also had an influence on the antitumor activity. Therefore, the introduction of an electronwithdrawing group, -4 -chlorine (11), improved the antiproliferative activity in comparison with electron-donor groups $2-\mathrm{OH}$ (1), 4-OMe (3), and $\mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ (18) groups. This would indicate that decreased of electron density on 5-aryl moiety is important for the inhibitory activity; and (5) substitution of the phenyl fragment in 3 position of pyrazoline cycle on naphthalene-2-yl substituent (compounds 9, 10, 17, 35-37, and 39, except 38) did not have significant influence on antitumor activity, but introduction of the 4-methoxyphenyl group in the mentioned position $(6,13)$ limited this effect (Figure 7).

Nontumored Animal Toxicity Assays for 4, 10, 13, 17, and 18 were performed according to NCI protocol (http://dtp.nci.nih. gov/branches/btb/acute_tox.html). The results have shown that the maximum tolerated dose was more than $400 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. It can be used to calculate the amount of material to be given to experimental mice during further antitumor testing in vivo.

In Vivo Anticancer Activity: Hollow Fiber Assay. Compounds 4, 10, 13, 17, and 18, which possessed promising activity during in vitro anticancer drug screening, were evaluated by NCI using the hollow fiber assay. This assay provided quantitative indications of the compound's drug efficacy (http:// dtp.nci.nih.gov/branches/btb/hfa.html). ${ }^{27}$ In a hollow fiber model, polyvinylidene fluoride fibers containing various human cancer cell cultures were implanted intraperitoneally (ip) and subcutaneously (sc) into athymic nude mice and compounds were administrated by the ip route. The effects of the compounds on reduction of the viable cancer cell mass compared to control were determined. To simplify evaluation, the NCI protocol adopts a point system that allows rapid viewing of the activity of a given compound. For this, a value of 2 is assigned for each compound dose that results in $50 \%$ or greater reduction in the viable cell mass. Compounds with a combined ip + sc score of $\geq 20$, sc score of $\geq 8$, or net cell kill of one or more cell lines were considered significantly active. The tested compounds did not show significant activity in the hollow fiber assay. Compound 4 resulted in an sc score of 2, compound 11 exhibited an sc score of

Table 2. Averaged Anticancer Activity ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) against a Panel of Approximately 60 Tumor Cell Lines from Nine Different Cancer Types at 10-Fold Dilutions of Five Concentrations

| compd | end point | leukemia | NSC lung cancer | colon cancer | CNS cancer | melanoma | ovarian cancer | renal cancer | prostate cancer | breast cancer | MG_MID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{GI}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 3.19 | 2.03 | 1.99 | 2.13 | 2.04 | 2.41 | 2.07 | 2.05 | 2.09 | 2.22 |
|  | TGI ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) | 48.77 | 4.73 | 4.22 | 5.37 | 4.40 | 36.60 | 17.76 | 4.35 | 4.77 | 14.55 |
|  | $\mathrm{LC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 100.0 | 57.16 | 33.35 | 68.12 | 60.79 | 81.46 | 55.76 | 54.69 | 64.61 | 63.99 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{GI}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 0.27 | 0.24 | 0.21 | 0.24 | 0.23 | 0.28 | 0.23 | 0.29 | 0.26 | 0.25 |
|  | TGI ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) | 1.05 | 0.67 | 0.46 | 0.72 | 0.66 | 0.94 | 0.63 | 0.93 | 0.80 | 0.76 |
|  | $\mathrm{LC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 9.49 | 7.24 | 1.67 | 4.12 | 2.43 | 18.99 | 2.55 | 7.68 | 3.59 | 6.42 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{GI}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 1.64 | 2.38 | 1.81 | 1.62 | 2.00 | 2.30 | 2.01 | 1.52 | 2.02 | 1.92 |
|  | TGI ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) | 20.81 | 6.04 | 4.39 | 3.45 | 4.51 | 19.03 | 4.27 | 3.35 | 4.58 | 7.82 |
|  | $\mathrm{LC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 92.95 | 70.75 | 32.04 | 7.39 | 37.99 | 71.06 | 34.33 | 7.37 | 38.98 | 43.65 |
| $4^{a}$ | $\mathrm{GI}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 0.25 | 0.20 | 0.19 | 0.18 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 0.18 | 0.24 | 0.20 | 0.21 |
|  | $\text { TGI }(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 4.23 | 0.51 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.55 | 3.63 | 0.36 | 0.66 | 0.48 | 1.24 |
|  | $\mathrm{LC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 51.02 | 3.275 | 1.07 | 6.48 | 5.01 | 23.42 | 1.27 | 39.47 | 26.54 | 17.51 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{GI}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 100.0 | 88.07 | 100.0 | 43.91 | 100.0 | 92.15 | 85.17 | 100.0 | 81.53 | 87.87 |
|  | TGI ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) | 100.0 | 91.55 | 100.0 | 89.15 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.58 | 97.80 |
|  | $\mathrm{LC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| $7^{a}$ | $\mathrm{GI}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 0.28 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.19 | 0.24 | 0.20 | 0.27 | 0.21 | 0.22 |
|  | TGI ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) | 62.71 | 0.43 | 25.29 | 0.405 | 0.41 | 32.49 | 0.38 | 0.71 | 0.48 | 15.07 |
|  | $\mathrm{LC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 100.0 | 75.2 | 50.37 | 70.19 | 17.26 | 78.72 | 64.63 | 100.0 | 66.90 | 73.85 |
| $8^{a}$ | $\mathrm{GI}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 0.28 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.19 | 0.23 | 0.19 | 0.25 | 0.22 | 0.22 |
|  | TGI ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) | 75.15 | 0.44 | 0.43 | 0.42 | 0.38 | 21.20 | 0.39 | 0.71 | 0.50 | 11.91 |
|  | $\mathrm{LC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 100 | 64.22 | 58.72 | 35.49 | 33.87 | 83.45 | 50.47 | 100.0 | 75.19 | 66.82 |
| $9^{a}$ | $\mathrm{GI}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 17.50 | 0.62 | 1.68 | 0.67 | 4.80 | 0.97 | 0.87 | 0.36 | 5.96 | 3.16 |
|  | $\text { TGI }(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 59.63 | 4.03 | 12.36 | 12.57 | 27.03 | 24.19 | 13.60 | 2.47 | 32.79 | 20.96 |
|  | $\mathrm{LC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 97.50 | 48.73 | 38.33 | 48.21 | 64.07 | 66.91 | 51.27 | 100.0 | 73.68 | 65.41 |
| $10^{a}$ | $\mathrm{GI}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 0.13 | 0.054 | 0.05 | 0.046 | 0.069 | 0.11 | 0.064 | 0.059 | 0.061 | 0.071 |
|  | TGI ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) | 3.37 | 0.22 | 0.14 | 0.21 | 0.19 | 0.85 | 0.33 | 0.51 | 0.99 | 0.76 |
|  | $\mathrm{LC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 87.76 | 41.53 | 1.15 | 3.42 | 3.52 | 18.81 | 5.19 | 13.81 | 2.65 | 19.76 |
| $11^{a}$ | $\mathrm{GI}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 0.37 | 0.23 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.28 | 0.23 | 0.27 | 0.25 | 0.32 | 0.26 |
|  | TGI ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) | 70.77 | 6.29 | 0.39 | 0.50 | 0.54 | 0.65 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.91 | 9.08 |
|  | $\mathrm{LC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 100.0 | 30.01 | 0.81 | 2.07 | 1.00 | 26.67 | 22.09 | - | 39.44 | 27.76 |
| $12^{a}$ | $\mathrm{GI}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 0.39 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.30 | 0.26 | 0.24 | 0.31 | 0.80 | 0.32 |
|  | TGI ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) | 39.39 | 0.62 | 0.35 | 0.32 | 0.67 | - | 0.52 | - | 100.0 | 15.74 |
|  | $\mathrm{LC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 85.17 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 75.22 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 95.53 |
| 13 | $\mathrm{GI}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 86.55 | 92.37 | 100.0 | 77.48 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 95.15 |
|  | $\text { TGI }(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 90.86 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 98.98 |
|  | $\mathrm{LC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| $14^{a}$ | $\mathrm{GI}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 0.19 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.19 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.14 | 0.15 |
|  | TGI ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) | 14.01 | 0.34 | 7.44 | 1.48 | 0.34 | 14.67 | 0.37 | 1.01 | 0.40 | 4.45 |
|  | $\mathrm{LC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 100.0 | 12.39 | 16.06 | 15.71 | 0.99 | 29.75 | 4.00 | 100.0 | 19.17 | 33.12 |
| $15^{a}$ | $\mathrm{GI}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 0.27 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.27 | 0.20 | 0.27 | 0.20 | 0.22 |
|  | TGI ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) | 0.89 | 0.46 | 0.45 | 0.43 | 0.44 | 7.97 | 0.46 | 0.74 | 0.47 | 1.37 |
|  | $\mathrm{LC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 91.13 | 7.93 | 8.20 | 12.67 | 1.21 | 31.34 | 13.75 | 76.23 | 25.74 | 29.80 |
| $17^{a}$ | $\mathrm{GI}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 19.14 | 0.46 | 0.53 | 8.80 | 0.35 | 15.37 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.74 | 5.13 |
|  | $\text { TGI }(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 71.59 | 20.91 | 70.27 | 27.32 | 24.67 | 56.14 | 42.14 | 50.72 | 38.34 | 44.68 |
|  | $\mathrm{LC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 93.10 | 100.0 | 83.75 | 75.86 | 83.00 | 100.0 | 75.50 | 100.0 | 91.73 | 89.21 |
| $18^{b}$ | $\mathrm{GI}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 33.91 | 7.42 | 2.55 | 1.11 | 6.90 | 1.22 | 6.37 | 0.27 | 5.26 | 8.05 |
|  | TGI ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) | 45.62 | 25.2 | 35.30 | 3.24 | 29.42 | 39.71 | 18.84 | 34.02 | 20.25 | 27.96 |
|  | $\mathrm{LC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 94.83 | 48.95 | 40.36 | 41.61 | 43.90 | 47.12 | 43.02 | 41.37 | 57.30 | 50.94 |
| $19^{a}$ | $\mathrm{GI}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 0.31 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.20 | 0.27 | 0.22 | 0.23 |
|  | TGI ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) | 1.29 | 0.54 | 0.42 | 0.45 | 0.46 | 0.69 | 0.45 | 0.72 | 0.51 | 0.62 |
|  | $\mathrm{LC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 84.75 | 17.01 | 1.22 | 12.27 | 7.65 | 39.58 | 7.47 | 55.29 | 18.74 | 27.13 |
| 20 | $\mathrm{GI}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 0.34 | 0.21 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.26 | 0.24 | 0.19 | 0.25 | 0.22 | 0.23 |
|  | TGI ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) | 3.11 | 0.51 | 0.41 | 0.43 | 0.57 | 0.62 | 0.43 | 0.69 | 0.53 | 0.80 |
|  | $\mathrm{LC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 100.0 | 37.91 | 16.48 | 1.63 | 2.16 | 48.80 | 15.35 | 12.81 | 2.32 | 26.38 |
| 32 | $\mathrm{GI}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 12.35 | 7.53 | 7.20 | 5.48 | 13.22 | 9.75 | 9.20 | 7.65 | 8.10 | 8.94 |
|  | TGI ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) | 67.00 | 30.01 | 36.32 | 16.74 | 49.28 | 28.66 | 32.33 | 22.10 | 24.56 | 34.11 |
|  | $\mathrm{LC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 100.0 | 58.64 | 78.77 | 46.50 | 81.14 | 64.83 | 62.10 | 61.20 | 65.84 | 68.78 |
| 33 | $\mathrm{GI}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 27.95 | 4.37 | 6.15 | 3.94 | 9.40 | 3.68 | 3.75 | 6.49 | 6.29 | 8.00 |
|  | TGI ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) | 79.23 | 23.22 | 28.62 | 14.39 | 46.72 | 14.65 | 13.21 | 20.32 | 17.77 | 28.68 |

Table 2. continued


4, and compound 10 resulted in an ip score of 6 , with low cytotoxic activity (no cell death).

COMPARE Analysis. The NCI's COMPARE algorithm (http://dtp.nci.nih.gov/compare/) ${ }^{28}$ allows us to assume biochemical mechanisms of action for the novel compounds on the basis of their in vitro activity profiles when comparing with those of standard agents. We performed COMPARE computations for synthesized highly active compounds against the NCI "Standard Agents" database at the $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ and TGI levels.

COMPARE analysis hypothesis precludes that the compound may have the same mechanism of action as an agent with a known action mechanism. Most of the significant correlations of tested compounds, in particular for 3-[2-(3,5-diaryl-4,5-dihydropyrazol-1-yl)-4-oxo-4,5-dihydro-1,3-thiazol-5-ylidene]-2,3-dihydro- 1 H -indol-2-ones, have been found with echinomycin and bruceantin (inhibitors of protein or RNA synthesis). Interestingly, mean graph fingerprints of compounds $1,4,9,19$ showed significant similarity at the TGI level with tamoxifen (antagonist of the estrogen receptor) in the COMPARE test (Table 4).

## CONCLUSIONS

In the present paper the novel 3-[2-(3,5-diaryl-4,5-dihydropyr-azol-1-yl)-4-oxo-4,5-dihydro-1,3-thiazol-5-ylidene]-2,3-dihydro1 H -indol-2-ones $\mathbf{1 - 2 3}$ and 3-(3,5-diarylpyrazol-1-yl)-2,3-dihy-dro-1H-indol-2-ones 24-39 were described. Most of these novel compounds were found to possess in vitro human tumor cell line activity substantially more potent than the recently reported antitumor 4-(3,5-diaryl-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazol-1-yl)-1,3-thia-zol-2 $(5 H)$-ones. The nonthiazolidine derivatives $24-39$ were far less active than conjugates with three heterocycles, $\mathbf{1 - 2 0}$, emphasizing the importance of the presence and positioning of a central linker (4-thiazolidinone moiety) between two terminal heterocycles (indolone and pyrazoline). The most potent compound, 10, in the series of pyrazoline-thiazolidinone-isatin conjugates exhibits a mean $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ value of $0.071 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and a mean TGI value of $0.76 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in the NCI 60 -cell-line screen with a low toxicity and moderate activity level in the in vivo hollow fiber assay.

## - EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Chemistry. The starting 3,5-diaryl-2-pyrazolines ${ }^{29}$ and 3,5-diaryl-1-thiocarbamoyl-2-pyrazolines ${ }^{30}$ were obtained according to the methods described previously.

Melting points were measured in open capillary tubes on a Büchi B545 melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. The elemental analyses ( $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$ ) were performed using the Perkin-Elmer 2400 CHN analyzer. Analysis results indicated by the symbols of the elements or functions were within $\pm 0.4 \%$ of the theoretical values. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Gemini 400 MHz spectrometer and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra on a Varian Mercury- 400100 MHz spectrometer in

DMSO- $d_{6}$ or DMSO- $d_{6}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ mixture using tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal standard. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm units with use of the $\delta$ scale. Mass spectra were obtained using electrospray (ES) ionization techniques on an Agilent 1100 series LCMS instrument. Analytical HPLC was performed on an Agilent 1100 HPLC instrument with DAD (diode array detection). ESI refers to electrospray ionization. HPLC refers to high pressure liquid chromatography. LCMS refers to liquid chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry. $M$ in the context of mass spectrometry refers to the molecular peak, and MS refers to mass spectrometry. The purity of all compounds was determined to be $\geq 95 \%$ by HPLC (Agilent 1100 HPLC instrument) with diode array detection. The peak purity was checked with UV spectra.

General Procedure for Synthesis of 3-[2-(3,5-Diaryl-4,5-dihydropyrazol-1-yl)-4-oxo-4,5-dihydro-1,3-thiazol-5-ylidene]-2,3-dihydro-1H-indol-2-ones (1-23). A mixture of 3,5-diaryl-1-thiocarbamoyl-2-pyrazoline ( 10 mmol ), chloroacetic acid ( 10 mmol ), appropriate isatin ( 12 mmol ), and anhydrous sodium acetate ( 20 mmol ) was refluxed for 5 h in glacial acetic acid $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The precipitate that was obtained upon cooling was filtered off, washed with water and methanol, and recrystallized with DMF/ethanol mixtures ( $1: 2 \mathrm{vol}$ ).

3-\{2-[5-(2-Hydroxyphenyl)-3-phenyl-4,5-dihydropyrazol-1-yl]-4-oxo-4,5-dihydro-1,3-thiazol-5-ylidene\}-2,3-dihydro-1 H -indol-2-one (1). Yield $69 \%$, mp $310-313{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $\left.d_{6}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right): \delta 11.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.59(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.97(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.2$, $3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.9,11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.9,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): \delta 179.5(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 173.1(\mathrm{C}=$ O), $169.5(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$, thiaz $), 163.0(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$, pyraz $), 154.9(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{OH}), 143.6$, 137.7, 132.2, 132.1, 130.2, 129.5, 128.5, 127.9, 127.7, 126.3, 125.9, 122.3, 120.9, 119.5, 116.2, 110.6, $61.5\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 42.7\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right)$. LCMS (ESI +) $m / z 467(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 66.94 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.89$; N, 12.01. Found: C, 67.10; H, 3.73; N, 11.87.

3-\{2-[3,5-Bis-(4-methoxyphenyl)-4,5-dihydropyrazol-1-yl]-4-oxo-4,5-dihydro-1,3-thiazol-5-ylidene\}-5-bromo-2,3-dihydro1 H -indol-2-one (7). Yield $80 \%$, mp $316-318{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $\left.d_{6}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right): \delta 11.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.89-6.96(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.6,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=18.6,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=18.6,3.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 179.4$ ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 173.2 $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 169.1(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$, thiaz $), 163.1(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$, pyraz $), 162.7(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O})$, 159.5 (C-O), 142.1, 139.4, 132.5, 131.3, 130.0, 127.9, 127.8, 126.1, 125.1, 122.5, 122.1, 115.0, 114.8, 111.9, $63.8\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 56.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, $55.7\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 43.7\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right)$. LCMS $(\mathrm{ESI}+) \mathrm{m} / z 589 / 591(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ : C, $57.05 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.59 ; \mathrm{N}, 9.50$. Found: C, 57.21; H, 3.67; N, 9.43.

5-Bromo-3-\{2-[5-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-naphthalen-2-yl-4,5-dihydropyrazol-1-yl]-4-oxo-4,5-dihydro-1,3-thiazol-5-ylidene\}-2,3-dihydro-1 H -indol-2-one (10). Yield $67 \%$, mp $283-286{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right): \delta 11.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.40$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99-8.08(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.61-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.98(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.9,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.9,10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.9,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right): \delta 179.5(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 173.6(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 169.5(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$, thiaz $)$,

Table 3. Influence of Compound 10 on the Growth of Individual Tumor Cell Lines

| disease | cell line | $\mathrm{GI}_{50}, \mu \mathrm{M}$ |  | TGI, $\mu \mathrm{M}$ |  | $\mathrm{LC}_{50}, \mu \mathrm{M}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $1^{a}$ | $2^{\text {b }}$ | $1^{a}$ | $2^{\text {b }}$ | $1^{a}$ | $2^{\text {b }}$ |
| leukemia | CCRF-CEM | 0.227 | 0.0329 | 11.0 | 0.483 | >100.0 | >100.0 |
|  | HL-60 (TB) | 0.558 | 0.257 | 11.5 | 3.2 | >100.0 | 49.3 |
|  | K-562 | 0.28 | 0.037 | >100.0 | 12.4 | >100.0 | >100.0 |
|  | MOLT-4 | 0.239 | 0.0307 | 2.94 | 0.521 | >100.0 | $>100.0$ |
|  | RPMI-8226 | 1.03 | 0.214 | 26.8 | 1.4 | >100.0 | 42.2 |
|  | SR | 0.0666 | 0.0289 | 1.18 | 0.13 | >100.0 | >100.0 |
| NSC lung cancer | A549/ATCC | 0.0375 | 0.0247 | 0.178 | 0.0695 | 25.7 | 33.3 |
|  | EKVX | 0.0734 | 0.0476 | 0.426 | 0.48 | >100.0 | >100.0 |
|  | HOP-62 | 0.221 | 0.022 |  | 0.0498 | >100.0 | 0.157 |
|  | HOP-92 | 0.0153 | <0.01 | 0.443 | 0.0242 | 14.5 | 1.47 |
|  | NCI-H226 | 0.0215 | 0.0406 | 0.582 | 0.173 | 4.26 | 0.586 |
|  | NCI-H23 | 0.0548 | 0.0246 | 0.246 | 0.0567 |  | 0.291 |
|  | NCI-H322M | 0.189 | 0.036 | 2.96 | 0.56 | >100.0 | 64.1 |
|  | NCI-H460 | 0.0571 | 0.0331 | 0.25 | 0.112 |  | 5.45 |
|  | NCI-H522 | 0.124 |  | 0.288 |  |  |  |
| colon cancer | COLO 205 | 0.139 | 0.021 |  | 0.042 | >100.0 | 0.0841 |
|  | HCC-2998 | 0.0869 | 0.0252 | 0.246 | 0.0658 | 0.641 | 0.272 |
|  | HCT-116 | 0.0448 | 0.018 |  | 0.0335 | $>100.0$ | 0.0624 |
|  | HCT-15 | 0.286 | 0.0629 | 1.25 | 0.325 | >100.0 | 2.45 |
|  | HT29 | 0.199 |  | 0.537 |  |  |  |
|  | KM12 | 0.117 | 0.0231 | 0.265 | 0.0535 | 0.601 | 0.231 |
|  | SW-620 | 0.0229 | 0.022 | 0.0493 | 0.0477 | 0.127 | 0.13 |
| CNS cancer | SF-268 | 0.0738 | 0.0365 | 0.47 | 0.222 | 26.3 | 9.63 |
|  | SF-295 | 0.0265 | 0.0285 | 0.0786 | 0.0943 | 1.17 | 4.58 |
|  | SF-539 | 0.157 | 0.0231 | 0.442 | 0.0542 |  | 0.296 |
|  | SNB-19 | 0.292 | 0.0385 | 12.2 | 0.411 | 61.1 | 8.98 |
|  | SNB-75 | 0.13 | 0.0159 | 0.411 | 0.0343 | >100.0 | 0.0698 |
|  | U251 | 0.0289 | 0.0246 | 0.0726 | 0.0578 | 0.289 | 0.664 |
| melanoma | LOX IMVI | 0.0862 | 0.0243 |  | 0.0589 |  | 0.249 |
|  | MALME-3M | 0.145 | 0.0277 | 0.357 | 0.0729 |  | 0.64 |
|  | M14 | 0.198 | 0.0245 |  | 0.0593 | >100.0 | 0.21 |
|  | MDA-MB-435 | 0.0401 | 0.0318 | 0.188 | 0.106 |  | 2.44 |
|  | SK-MEL-2 | 0.22 |  | 0.044 |  | 0.882 |  |
|  | SK-MEL-28 | 0.327 | 0.0218 |  | 0.0515 | >100.0 | 0.205 |
|  | SK-MEL-5 | 0.137 | 0.0315 | 0.266 | 0.0106 | 0.517 | 0.341 |
|  | UACC-257 | 0.237 | 0.0511 | 0.686 | 0.318 |  | >100.0 |
|  | UACC-62 | 0.206 | 0.0547 | 0.551 | 0.261 | 79.6 | 1.19 |
| ovarian cancer | IGROV1 | 0.289 | 0.0498 | 1.66 | 0.451 | >100.0 | >100.0 |
|  | OVCAR-3 | 0.101 | 0.0216 | 0.252 | 0.045 | 0.628 | 0.0937 |
|  | OVCAR-4 | 0.215 | 0.0326 | >100.0 | 0.111 | >100.0 | >100.0 |
|  | OVCAR-5 | 0.1975 | 0.0336 | 0.587 | 0.131 | 29.2 | 2.11 |
|  | OVCAR-8 | 0.0587 | 0.032 | 0.486 | 0.176 | 28.7 | 24.1 |
|  | NCI/ADR-RES | 0.675 | 1.59 | $>100.0$ |  | >100.0 | >100.0 |
|  | SK-OV-3 | 0.319 | 0.033 | >100.0 | 0.114 | $>100.0$ | 2.84 |
| renal cancer | 786-0 | 0.072 | 0.0194 |  | 0.0387 | >100.0 | 0.0772 |
|  | A498 | 0.151 | 0.0251 | 0.313 | 0.0786 | 0.65 | 0.316 |
|  | ACHN | 0.0637 | 0.0267 | 37.8 | 0.0646 | >100.0 | 32.3 |
|  | CAKI-1 | 0.151 | 0.0965 | 0.608 | 1.74 | >100.0 | >100.0 |
|  | RXF 393 | 0.0543 | 0.0197 | 0.202 | 0.0403 | 0.55 | 0.0822 |
|  | SN12C | 0.171 | 0.0434 | 0.588 | 0.223 |  | 1.7 |
|  | TK-10 | 0.17 |  | 0.522 |  | >100.0 |  |
|  | UO-31 | 0.206 | 0.0578 |  |  |  |  |
| prostate cancer | PC-3 | 0.229 | 0.026 | 0.778 | 0.0827 | 5.46 | 6.84 |
|  | DU-145 | 0.0669 | 0.0393 | 0.752 | 1.34 | 25.5 | 38.0 |
| breast cancer | MCF7 | 0.0557 | 0.0264 | >100.0 | 0.0747 | >100.0 | 0.586 |
|  | MDA-MB-231/ATCC | 0.0145 | 0.025 |  | 0.0627 |  | 0.349 |
|  | HS 578T | 0.0644 | 0.0774 | 1.03 | >100.0 | >100.0 | >100.0 |
|  | BT-549 | 0.553 |  | 7.74 |  | 35.1 |  |
|  | T-47D | 0.127 | 0.0236 |  | 0.0611 |  |  |

Table 3. continued

| disease | cell line | $\mathrm{GI}_{50}, \mu \mathrm{M}$ |  | TGI, $\mu \mathrm{M}$ |  | $\mathrm{LC}_{50}, \mu \mathrm{M}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $1^{a}$ | $2^{\text {b }}$ | $1^{a}$ | $2^{\text {b }}$ | $1^{a}$ | $2^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | MDA-MB-468 | 0.0913 | 0.0223 | 0.222 | 0.0622 | 0.508 | 0.19 |

${ }^{a}$ First evaluation. ${ }^{b}$ Second evaluation.


Figure 6. Anticancer activity of the most active compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ in comparison with standard agents.
$162.9(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$, pyraz $), 159.5(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}), 143.7,139.4,134.4,133.1,132.2$, 132.1, 129.7, 129.3, 129.1, 128.6, 128.4, 128.3, 127.9, 127.6, 127.4, 126.7, 123.7, 122.1, 120.9, 114.8, 110.6, $64.0\left(\underline{\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}}\right), 55.6\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 43.8$ $\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right)$. LCMS (ESI + ) $m / z 609 / 611(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 61.09$; H, 3.47; N, 9.19. Found: C, 61.25; H, 3.62; N, 9.33.

1-Methyl-3-[4-oxo-2-(3-phenyl-5-thiophen-2-yl-4,5-dihydro-pyrazol-1-yl)-4H-thiazol-5-ylidene]-2,3-dihydro-1H-indol-2one (23). Yield $72 \%, \mathrm{mp} 248-250{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ $\left.+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right): \delta 8.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.56-7.63$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{t}, J=4.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.6,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=18.3,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.72 (dd, $J=18.3,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right): \delta 179.2(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 173.5(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 167.9(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$, thiaz), $162.8(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$, pyraz $), 144.2,142.3,137.8,132.5,132.2,129.9,129.6$, 128.3, 128.1, 127.5, 126.7, 126.6, 125.7, 122.9, 120.1, 109.4, 59.9 $\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 43.6\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 26.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. LCMS (ESI+) m/z $471(\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ : C, $63.81 \mathrm{H}, 3.86 ; \mathrm{N}, 11.91$. Found: C, 63.69; H, 3.95; N, 11.81 .

Crystal Data. $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}, M_{\mathrm{r}}=470.55$, triclinic, space group $P \overline{1} a$ $=8.8197(9) \AA, b=10.7567(11) \AA, c=12.0420(12) \AA, \alpha=94.037(8)^{\circ}, \beta$ $=108.803(9)^{\circ}, \gamma=101.738(9)^{\circ}, V=1047.39(18) \AA^{3}, Z=2, D_{\text {calc }}=1.492$ $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}, \mu=2.578 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}, T=130(2) \mathrm{K}$.

Data Collection. A brown plate crystal (DMF) of $0.30 \mathrm{~mm} \times 0.20$ $\mathrm{mm} \times 0.03 \mathrm{~mm}$ was used to record $7343\left(\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha\right.$ radiation, $\left.\theta_{\max }=73.5^{\circ}\right)$
intensities on an Oxford Diffraction SuperNova four-circle diffractometer equipped with an Atlas CCD detector ${ }^{31}$ using mirrormonochromatized $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation from a high-flux microfocus source $(\lambda=1.54178 \AA)$. Accurate unit cell parameters were determined by least-squares techniques from the $\theta$ values of 2906 reflections, $\theta$ range $3.9-73.5^{\circ}$. The data were corrected for Lorentz polarization and for absorption effects. ${ }^{31}$ The 4052 total unique reflections $\left(R_{\text {int }}=0.0258\right)$ were used for structure determination.

Structure Solution and Refinement. The structure was solved by direct methods using the program SHELXS-97, and refinement was done against $F^{2}$ for all data using SHELXL-97.32 The H atoms were positioned geometrically and were refined with a riding model, and $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})$ values were constrained to be 1.2 (1.5 for methyl group) times $U_{\text {eq }}$ of the appropriate carrier atom. The methyl H atoms were refined as a rigid group that was allowed to rotate. The final refinement converged with $R=0.0578$ (for 3532 data with $F^{2}>4 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)$ ), $\mathrm{wR}=0.1656$ (on $F^{2}$ for all data), and $S=1.062$ (on $F^{2}$ for all data). The largest difference peak and hole was 0.869 and -0.417 e $\AA^{-3}$. Crystals 23 were twinned, hence the lower accuracy of the final results. The molecular illustration was drawn using ORTEP-3 for Windows. ${ }^{33}$

The supplementary crystallographic data are deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC), 12 Union ROAD, Cambridge CB2 1EZ (U.K.) (phone, (+44) 1223/336-408; fax, (+44) 1223/336-033; e-mail, deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk; World Wide Web, http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk (deposition no. CCDC 859413)).

General Procedure for Synthesis of 3-[3,5-Diarylpyrazol-1-yl]-2,3-dihydro-1 H -indol-2-ones (24-39). A mixture of 3,5-diarylpyrazoline ( 5 mmol ) and appropriate isatin ( 5 mmol ) was refluxed for 1 h in ethanol $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The obtained precipitate was filtered off, washed with ethanol, and recrystallized with DMF/ethanol mixture ( $1: 2 \mathrm{vol}$ ).
\{3-[5-(2-Hydroxyphenyl)-3-phenylpyrazol-1-yl]-2-oxo-2,3-di-hydroindol-1-yl\}acetic Acid (24). Yield 78\%, mp 132-134 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ ): $\delta 13.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{t}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.98-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO-d $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): \delta 172.0$ $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 169.5(\mathrm{COOH}), 155.4(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}), 151.5(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}), 143.8,133.4$, 123.3, 131.3, 130.0, 129.0, 128.2, 125.8, 125.7, 125.3, 123.1, 119.9, 117.1, 116.7, 109.8, 104.5, $60.7(\mathrm{CH}), 56.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. LCMS (ESI+) $m / z 426(\mathrm{M}$ $+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 70.58; H, 4.50; N, 9.88. Found: C, 70.75; H, 4.69; N, 9.72.

Crystal Data. $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}, 2\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}\right), M_{\mathrm{r}}=517.57$, triclinic, space group $P \overline{1}, a=11.5227(7) \AA, b=11.8942(7) \AA, c=12.2739(8) \AA, \alpha=$ $113.837(6)^{\circ}, \beta=115.349(6)^{\circ}, \gamma=93.313(5)^{\circ}, V=1335.22(14) \AA^{3}, Z=$ $2, D_{\text {calc }}=1.287 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}, \mu=0.091 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}, T=130(2) \mathrm{K}$.


Figure 7. SAR analysis of the noncondensed heterocyclic compounds with 4-thiazolidinone, 2,3-dihydro-1H-indol-2-one, and pyrazole or pyrazoline moieties.

Table 4. COMPARE Analysis Results for Highly Active Compounds

| compd | end point | PCC ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | target | target vector NSC | target mechanism of action ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | TGI | 0.555 | tamoxifen | S180973 | antagonist of the estrogen receptor |
|  |  | 0.553 | 5-azacytidine | S102816 | DNA/RNA antimetabolite |
| 3 | TGI | 0.565 | actinomycin D | S3053 | DNA transcription inhibitor |
|  |  | 0.565 | anthrapyrazole | S355644 | topoisomerase II inhibitor |
|  |  | 0.559 | chromomycin A3 | S58514 | RNA polymerase inhibitor |
| 4 | $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ | 0.686 | echinomycin | S526417 | inhibitor of RNA synthesis |
|  | TGI | 0.628 | bruceantin | S165563 | inhibitor of protein synthesis |
|  |  | 0.617 | tamoxifen | S180973 | antagonist of the estrogen receptor |
| 6 | $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ | 0.634 | nitroimidazole | S314055 |  |
|  |  | 0.570 | nitroestrone | S321803 |  |
|  | TGI | 0.555 | $R$-methylformamide | S3051 |  |
| 7 | $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ | 0.550 | echinomycin | S526417 | inhibitor of RNA synthesis |
|  | TGI | 0.595 | acodazole hydrochloride | S305884 |  |
| 8 | $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ | 0.595 | echinomycin | S526417 | inhibitor of RNA synthesis |
|  | TGI | 0.631 | acodazole hydrochloride | S305884 |  |
|  |  | 0.553 | bruceantin | S165563 | inhibitor of protein synthesis |
| 9 | TGI | 0.549 | tamoxifen | S180973 | antagonist of the estrogen receptor |
| 10 | $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ | 0.744 | echinomycin | S526417 | inhibitor of RNA synthesis |
|  |  | 0.724 | bruceantin | S165563 | inhibitor of protein synthesis |
|  |  | 0.705 | actinomycin D | S3053 | DNA transcription inhibitor |
|  |  | 0.693 | chromomycin A3 | S58514 | RNA polymerase inhibitor |
|  |  | 0.612 | deoxydoxorubicin | S267469 | topoisomerase II inhibitor |
|  |  | 0.576 | acodazole hydrochloride | S305884 |  |
|  | TGI | 0.664 | tetrocarcin A sodium salt | S333856 |  |
| 14 | TGI | 0.559 | bruceantin | S165563 | inhibitor of protein synthesis |
| 15 | $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ | 0.589 | echinomycin | S526417 | inhibitor of RNA synthesis |
|  |  | 0.553 | bruceantin | S165563 | inhibitor of protein synthesis |
|  | TGI | 0.627 | bruceantin | S165563 | inhibitor of protein synthesis |
|  |  | 0.593 | acodazole hydrochloride | S305884 |  |
| 17 | $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ | 0.748 | echinomycin | S526417 | inhibitor of RNA synthesis |
|  |  | 0.596 | bruceantin | S165563 | inhibitor of protein synthesis |
|  |  | 0.564 | chromomycin A3 | S58514 | RNA polymerase inhibitor |
| 19 | TGI | 0.797 | tamoxifen | S180973 | antagonist of the estrogen receptor |
|  |  | 0.603 | hycanthone | S142982 | alkylating agent |

${ }^{a}$ Only correlations with Pearson correlation coefficient PCC $\geq 0.55$ were selected, as significant. ${ }^{b}$ Putative mechanisms of action were identified with the use of literature sources.

Data Collection. A colorless block crystal (ethanol) of $0.40 \mathrm{~mm} \times$ $0.40 \mathrm{~mm} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~mm}$ was used to record 16524 (Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation, $\theta_{\max }=$ $29.1^{\circ}$ ) intensities on an Xcalibur-Atlas diffractometer. ${ }^{34}$ The data were corrected for Lorentz polarization and for absorption effects. ${ }^{34}$ Accurate unit-cell parameters were determined by a least-squares fit of 9535 reflections of highest intensity, chosen from the whole experiment. The 6388 total unique reflections $\left(R_{\text {int }}=0.0188\right)$ were used for structure determination.

Structure Solution and Refinement. The structure was solved by direct methods using SHELXS-97, and refinement was done against $F^{2}$ for all data using SHELXL-97. ${ }^{32}$ The positions of the H atoms bonded to O13, O32, and O33 atoms were obtained from the difference Fourier map and were refined freely. The remaining $H$ atoms were placed in geometrically calculated positions and were refined using a riding model, with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.96 \AA\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.97 \AA\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 0.98 \AA\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {sp }}{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right), 0.93 \AA$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} \mathrm{H}\right)$ and $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C})$ or $1.5 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C})$ for methyl H atoms. The methyl groups were refined as rigid groups, which were allowed to rotate. The alternative positions of $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{O}$ atoms of the disordered solvent molecule, designated as A and B, were constrained to have the same $U_{i j}$ components. The sum of site-occupancy factors for the disordered molecule was constrained to unity. The final refinement converged with $R=0.0370$ (for 5016 data with $F^{2}>4 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)$ ), $\mathrm{wR}=0.1034$ (on $F^{2}$ for all data), and $S=1.081$ (on $F^{2}$ for all data). The largest difference peak and hole was 0.293 and $-0.237 \mathrm{e}_{\AA^{-3}}$. The molecular illustration was drawn using ORTEP-3 for Windows. ${ }^{33}$

The supplementary crystallographic data are deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC), 12 Union ROAD, Cambridge CB2 1EZ (U.K.) (phone, (+44) 1223/336-408; fax, (+44) 1223/336-033; e-mail, deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk, World Wide Web, http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk (deposition no. CCDC 859414)).

3-[3,5-Bis(4-methoxyphenyl)pyrazol-1-yl]-2,3-dihydro-1 H-indol-2-one (25). Yield $83 \%$, mp $216-219{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $\left.d_{6}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right): \delta 10.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.92-6.98(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.95(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right): \delta$ $174.0(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 160.2(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}), 159.5(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}), 151.1(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}), 147.0$, 143.1, 130.8, 129.9, 127.2, 127.1, 125.9, 124.7, 122.4, 122.3, 114.9, 114.5, 110.6, $60.6(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}), 55.8\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 55.6\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$. LCMS (ESI+) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $412(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, 72.98; H, 5.14; N, 10.21 . Found: C, 73.06; H, 5.01; N, 10.32.

3-[5-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-3-naphthalen-2-ylpyrazol-1-yl]-2,3-dihydro- 1 H -indol-2-one (35). Yield $80 \%$, mp $208-211^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right): \delta 10.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91-7.96$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.13-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94-6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right): \delta 173.9(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 160.4(\mathrm{C}-$ O), 151.2 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}), 143.1,133.6,133.1,130.8,130.7,129.9,128.6,128.5$, 128.1, 127.1, 126.8, 126.5, 124.8, 124.2, 124.1, 122.5, 122.3, 114.9, 110.6, $55.8\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$. LCMS $(\mathrm{ESI}+) \mathrm{m} / z 432(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 77.94; H, 4.91; N, 9.74. Found: C, 77.81; H, 4.86; N, 9.52.

Pharmacology. A primary anticancer assay was performed for an approximately 60 human tumor cell line panel derived from nine neoplastic diseases, in accordance with the protocol of the Drug Evaluation Branch, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD. ${ }^{26}$ Tested compounds were added to the culture at a single concentration $\left(10^{-5}\right.$ M), and the cultures were incubated for 48 h . End point determinations were made with a protein binding dye, sulforhodamine B (SRB). The results for each tested compound were reported as the growth percentage of the treated cells when compared to that of the untreated control cells. The percentage growth was evaluated spectrophotometrically versus controls not treated with test agents. The cytotoxic and/or growth inhibitory effects of the most active selected compounds were tested in vitro against the full panel of about 60 human tumor cell lines at 10 -fold dilutions of five concentrations ranging from $10^{-4}$ to $10^{-8} \mathrm{M}$. A 48 h continuous drug exposure protocol was followed, and an SRB protein assay was used to estimate cell viability or growth.

By use of the seven absorbance measurements [time zero, $\left(T_{z}\right)$, control growth in the absence of drug ( $C$ ), and test growth in the presence of drug at the five concentration levels $\left(T_{i}\right)$ ], the percentage growth was calculated at each of the drug concentrations levels. Percentage growth inhibition was calculated as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\left(T_{i}-T_{\mathrm{z}}\right) /\left(C-T_{\mathrm{z}}\right)\right] \times 100 \quad \text { for concentrations for which }} \\
& \quad T_{i} \geq T_{\mathrm{z}} \\
& \\
& {\left[\left(T_{i}-T_{\mathrm{z}}\right) / T_{\mathrm{z}}\right] \times 100 \quad \text { for concentrations for which }} \\
& \quad T_{i}<T_{\mathrm{z}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Three dose response parameters $\left(\mathrm{GI}_{50}, \mathrm{TGI}, \mathrm{LC}_{50}\right)$ were calculated for each compound. Growth inhibition of $50 \%\left(\mathrm{GI}_{50}\right)$ was calculated from $\left[\left(T_{i}-T_{z}\right) /\left(C-T_{z}\right)\right] \times 100=50$, which was the drug concentration resulting in a $50 \%$ lower net protein increase in the treated cells (measured by SRB staining) compared to the net protein increase seen in the control cells. The drug concentration resulting in total growth inhibition (TGI) was calculated from $T_{i}=T_{2}$. The $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ (concentration of drug resulting in a $50 \%$ reduction in the measured protein at the end of the drug treatment compared to that at the beginning) indicating a net loss of cells following treatment was calculated from $\left[\left(T_{i}-T_{z}\right) / T_{z}\right] \times 100=-50$. Values were calculated for each of these three parameters if the level of activity was reached; however, if the effect was not reached or was exceeded, the value for that parameter was expressed as more or less for the maximum or minimum concentration tested. The lowest values are obtained with the most sensitive cell lines. The compounds having $\mathrm{GI}_{50} \leq 100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ were declared to be active.
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